

# Pinehurst Primary School Pupil Premium Strategy Statement

'Experience, Excel and Enjoy'



## **Pinehurst Primary School**

## Pupil Premium Strategy Statement 2019-2020

1. Summary information							
School	Pinehurst Pri	nehurst Primary School					
Academic Year	2019-20	Total PP budget	£349,020	Date of most recent PP Review	N/A		
Total number of pupils	346	Number of pupils eligible for PP	249	Date for next internal review of this strategy	March 2020		
R - Y6					June 2020		

2. Current progress								
	Pupils eligible for PP (your school) in KS2 2019	Pupils not eligible for PP (national average) 2019	Pupils eligible for PP (your school) in KS1 2019	Pupils not eligible for PP (national average) 2019				
% achieving in ARE or above in reading, writing and maths	31%	Awaiting Data	53%	Awaiting Data				
% achieving in ARE or above in reading	41%		60%					
% achieving in ARE or above in writing	47%		58%					

% achieving in ARE or above in maths	34%	68%	

3. Barri	ers to future attainment (for pupils eligible for PP, including high ability)						
	In-school barriers (issues to be addressed in school, such as poor oral language skills)						
А.	Self belief, motivation and aspirations of individual pupils						
В.	Standards of teaching, learning and progress in some classes historically and for some individual pupils						
C.	Focus and precision of intervention support for individual needs						
D.	Very low language skills on entry to school and limited range of vocabulary in all areas of the curriculum						
External	barriers (issues which also require action outside school, such as low attendance rates)						
E.	Social interactions having a detrimental effect on ability to engage in school for some pupils						
F.	Safeguarding and welfare issues which may link to mental health issues for the child and / or family						
G.	Poor attendance and limited support from some parents / carers to help child improve attendance and pu	inctuality					
4. Des	ired outcomes						
	Desired outcomes and how they will be measured	Success criteria					
	Improved outcomes closing the gap between Pupil Premium pupils and pupils Nationally at end of EYFS, KS1 and KS2. Measured termly using internal tracking system, then annually against National Standards and locality benchmarking	Progress shows PP pupils in line with National non-PP					
	Improved phonics and reading skills for PP pupils Termly and annual data	Progress shows PP pupils in line with National non-PP					
	Improved mathematical understanding and attainment for PP pupils Through use of Maths No Problem, regular monitoring and internal data collection tracking	Precision Teaching monitoring records to show pupils' knowledge 'gaps' closing					
	Improved attendance, punctuality and engagement of PP pupils through Attendance support and tracking systems and early intervention	Qualitative data to show improvements in these areas and attendance data to improve for this group					

5. Planned expend	iture				
Academic year	2019-20				
whole school strategies	after analysis of 2018-19 da	nstrate how they are using the pupil premiur ata <b>ns shown in months in brackets, in gree</b>		ovide targeted	support and support
i. Quality of Educati	on for ALL (Teaching Ass	istants to ensure PP pupils supported, C	CPD for Staff)		
Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?
Year 6 PP pupils' progress, from their KS1 end of phase data, and Year 2 PP pupils from their GLD, to be 'good or better' and EYFS PP pupils from their starting points to achieve GLD or Exceeding.	Formative Assessment focus on closing the gaps through 'structured learning conversations' and peer feedback on a daily basis	Positive impact of structured learning conversations on outcomes. CPD and advice for teaching staff to target PP pupils in this whole class system and catch up programme. (Feedback EEF +8)	SLT have CPD/research on assessment strategies –monitoring to regularly assess effectiveness of strategy	David Briscoe Katy Morris	To be completed and reviewed end of each Term.
Spring term cost: £3465 Cover cost: £3465.00 Total cost: £6970.00 Teachers have all receiv	.00 for trainers	D from 'bought in' support via SIOs English, oport with cover being provided. oment etc are embedding into everyday less		<u>.</u>	<u>.</u>

June 2020 Clear bespoke online training provided for teachers by SIL, Maths, English, Wider Curriculum being a clear focus. Teachers receive further online and email support bespoke to their needs embedding their own knowledge and subject application suitable for 'our' children.

PP pupils' progress, from their starting points across the school to be good or better	Kagan Structures	Pupils to continue collaborative work to further impact upon 'learning to learn' strategies and teacher 'structured conversations'. 1:1 support from teachers and TAs on a daily basis to improve collaboration. (Collaborative Learning EEF +5)	Focus in monitoring to regularly assess effectiveness of strategy	David Briscoe	Termly
apply new learnt knowled June 2020 Work packs sent home of Weekly calls home from Hand delivered work page	dge to the classroom. on a weekly basis to suppor class teacher offering supp	e offered individual feedback to children - im t the children's learning with a priority place ort and guidance with the work packs which ad Teacher to PP children to allow access to g/email etc.	ed on PP children. In have been set with a priority set on		nowledge based and
PP pupils' progress, from their starting points across the school to be good or better	Meta-cognition and Self- regulation approach; learning to learn, Mindset	Teachers/Support staff to use Structured Learning Conversations in feedback daily around learning to further impact positively upon progress   Therapy sessions for individuals through Blossoms Room   (Meta-cognition and Self-regualtion EEF +8)   Metacognition and self-regualtion   Image: Section 2016 Section 2017 Sectin 2017 Section 2017 Sectin 2017 Section 2017 S	Focus in monitoring to regularly assess effectiveness of strategy Outcomes for individual support through Blossoms Intervention – Case study information	David Briscoe Ruth Kinsella- Tate	Ongoing

#### March 2020

Zones of self-regulation in use by SEND Team, class teachers and LSAs.

Timetable of Blossoms intervention carefully matched to individual needs and under review every 6-12 weeks.

Structured learning conversations through live marking supporting individual progress.

#### June 2020

Food packs delivered to home by Head Teacher, Learning Mentor and local charity

FSM vouchers printed and delivered by Head Teacher

Home visits to check on wellbeing by Learning Mentor on a weekly basis

Emergency school phone number provided for 24 hour support.

Teachers providing telephone calls to support with work and give feedback.

Staff to be knowledgeable about individual needs and allocation of PP support. Staff to be proactive in seeking	Staff knowledge	For interventions to be effective and children supported appropriately staff must be knowledgeable about individual needs and barriers to success. (Individualised Instruction EEF +3)	All staff are aware of which children are PP across the school All staff to understand how PP is allocated and what	David Briscoe	Ongoing
opportunities for PP children to excel across the curriculum.		Individualised instruction Maderate input for very low call, based on maderate evidence.	the school provides for PP children so they can fully contribute to meeting individual needs		
			All staff to identify needs of PP children and the most suitable intervention / targeted approach for greatest impact.		
			Intervention to be evaluated and improved for maximum impact		

Monitoring shows childred Support staff costing: £4	ER tests for impact. en are benefitting from clea	e PP children with interventions and opportu ar structured support intervention.	nities as they see fit i.e. Reading dail	ly.	
June 2020 PP children prioritised f		home visits, attendance checks, welfare che eekly calls from staff.	ecks.		
			Quality of teaching b	udgeted cost	£178,327.50
_		rs, Headteacher, Deputy Headteacher and A	our £25 and with Teaching Assistants fortnight and 1/2 hour LSA per week ssistant Headteacher with no class b	s £15 per hour ( per PP pupil) ased teaching	60,693.75 72,832.50
_		(1/2 hour teacher per rs, Headteacher, Deputy Headteacher and A commitment, Middle Lea	our £25 and with Teaching Assistants fortnight and 1/2 hour LSA per week ssistant Headteacher with no class b ders and Subject Leaders with releas (4 hours per nned for individuals in class from T a	s £15 per hour c per PP pupil) ased teaching se time costed week @ £35) nd TA support	60,693.75 72,832.50 5,460.00
_		(1/2 hour teacher per rs, Headteacher, Deputy Headteacher and A commitment, Middle Lea	our £25 and with Teaching Assistants fortnight and 1/2 hour LSA per week ssistant Headteacher with no class b ders and Subject Leaders with releas (4 hours per nned for individuals in class from T a (15 mins per w Blossoms intervention support	s £15 per hour k per PP pupil) ased teaching se time costed week @ £35) nd TA support veek per pupil) for individuals	60,693.75 72,832.50 5,460.00 36,416.25
Monitoring fro	m SLT and Subject Leader	(1/2 hour teacher per rs, Headteacher, Deputy Headteacher and A commitment, Middle Lea	our £25 and with Teaching Assistants fortnight and 1/2 hour LSA per week ssistant Headteacher with no class b ders and Subject Leaders with releas (4 hours per nned for individuals in class from T a (15 mins per w Blossoms intervention support	s £15 per hour (per PP pupil) ased teaching se time costed (week @ £35) nd TA support (veek per pupil)	60,693.75 72,832.50 5,460.00
_	m SLT and Subject Leader	(1/2 hour teacher per rs, Headteacher, Deputy Headteacher and A commitment, Middle Lea	our £25 and with Teaching Assistants fortnight and 1/2 hour LSA per week ssistant Headteacher with no class b ders and Subject Leaders with releas (4 hours per nned for individuals in class from T a (15 mins per w Blossoms intervention support	s £15 per hour k per PP pupil) ased teaching se time costed week @ £35) nd TA support veek per pupil) for individuals	60,693.75 72,832.50 5,460.00 36,416.25

To raise standards of in basic skills of reading, writing and Maths and self- esteem and communication skills	TA support for 1:1 sessions weekly	Early intervention for individuals to assess their own learning and provide targeted feedback for them to improve impacting positively on end of key stage outcomes. (Feedback EEF +8)	Termly assessments for individuals – qualitative and quantitative data (e.g self-esteem questionnaires and measures and Pupil Tracking data / NFER testing)	David Briscoe	Termly			
March 2020   PP children all have reading intervention by LSA/Teacher i.e 1-2-1 reading, shared reading, group reading.   Monitoring shows all PP children receive reading intervention on at least a weekly basis.   Spring tracking data NFER awaiting data   Pupil voice questionnaires   Through monitoring PP children do receive weekly reading sessions with support staff/teachers.   Through soft data I.e. learning walks, clear progress can be seen and heard, specifically in reading.   Data to follow from Spring term NFER tests.   June 2020   Weekly check ins from teachers to ensure PP children are 'fit and well'   Direct teacher email support offered for all PP children to support with learning for both parents and children.   Work packs and clear line of electronic support/telephone support always available.								
Improved engagement and accelerated development of social skills	Support for vulnerable pupils in developing 'learning to learn' strategies – targeted groups and individuals	Using Structured Conversations and feedback - daily alongside extra adults can target individuals that show signs of slow progress (Meta-cognition and Self-regulation EEF +8) Metacognition and self-regulation Big input for the second code of	Support staff delivering the programmes will be monitored by class teacher. Specific time set aside for all pupils to access input from Pupil Premium intervention weekly.	Class teachers / LSAs	On-going reviews of progress throughout the year			

March 2020 Time has been set aside by staff to target PP children and groups. Pupil engagement is improving as is behaviour for learning. This has been evident on learning walks, drop ins and lesson visits. Adults supporting individuals. Learning mentor supporting specific individuals with learning to learn activities. June 2020 Wellbeing checks completed by Learning Mentor on a weekly basis through home, telephone and text. Engagement in events or activities outside of academia provided via Twitter to encourage children to stay mentally well.						
To improve attendance of identified pupils. Work closely with other services to support children and families, inc EWO, FSW. EHAT – vulnerable families – supporting children in ascertaining wishes and feelings. To work alongside families to reduce a range of barriers to learning, including language skills, emotional and family support.	Engagement with EHAT process - school to provide lead by R Kinsella Tate and Justine Ashley FSW Attendance monitoring by UPS Teacher, EWO and Headteacher	Attendance and punctuality of pupils remains a focus, provision of support to identify barriers to pupils attending punctually (Parental engagement EEF +3) Parental engagement eter +3) Parental engagement water instrument with the eter to be able to engage in learning within their classes (Social and emotional learning EEF +4 Meta-cognition and Self-regulation EEF +8) Social and emotional learning EEF +4 Meta-cognition and Self-regulation EEF +8) Social and environ the exercise the	Attendance monitoring by Headteacher, Attendance Lead and EWO	Katy Morris/ Hannah Jones/ Ruth Kinsella- Tate	Review through weekly attendance meetings with HT and Attendance lead	

	Chosen	What is the evidence and rationale for	How will you ensure it is	Staff lead	When will you
iii. Other approaches			-		
Virtual meetings attended by SENDCO. Targeted support budgeted cost Breakdown: Termly assessment sessions with individuals with Teacher cost per hour £25 and with Learning Support Assistants £15 per hour (£13.75 per child) Timetable of pupil premium interventions Attendance Lead release Family Support – Justine 4 days per week Blossoms Nurture (5 hours per week)					See above 2925.00
PP attendance has increase SEMH groups taken place Awaiting Spring data for act June 2020 Home calls by SENDCO to	e accessed. Idren who are poor atten sed from 87.49% - 92.65 either group or individua cademic impact.	ended when relevant and available. Inders from EWO and Learning mentor. 5% (Dec 19 - Mar 20) £8450.00 (Teacher tim al groups - all children show via Boxall asses to specific agencies for further support as re	sment to have shown improved SEN	IH behaviours:	Spring cost £6930.00

		Support to provide suitable interventions for children identified though planning. Skills sets of LSAs include language, early reading and phonics, emotional support, positive mental health, ICT (Small group tuition EEF +4 Teaching Assistants EEF +1) Small group tuiton Market market market and the set of t	Training for staff as appropriate in delivery of strategies and structured intervention programmes, i.e. Reading / phonics intervention, maths intervention.	Class Teachers / Learning Support Assistants	Reviewed at budget setting and for new academic year		
CPD provided by SIO - signification on the second s		een made in teacher CPD from a bespoke t	training package.				
A wide varying support pack		ll PP children.					
<u>Breakdown:</u> ⊺	raining and coaching t	o support delivery of appropriate strategies.	Other approaches bu SLT and SENCO support working co (3 hours per wee	ollaboratively.	<b>£8,100.00</b> 2925.00		
Subject Leader	s non contact time for	research using EEF and other sources and		of PP pupils.	300.00		
	Disc		Targeted pupil premium intervention	on from LSAs.	See above		
Blossoms Nurture support for individuals identified for intervention and on ad hoc basis as required. (5 hours per week)					4875.00		
			тс	TAL SPEND	£345,753.75		

## 6. Review of expenditure

### Review of expenditure 2018-19

Total Funding Allocation	£324,720
Total Budget Allocation	£310,241
Total Budget Remaining	£14,479

Desired Outcome	Action Taken	Impact
Pupils to achieve ARE at an accelerated rate £186,342	Bespoke targeted groups and individual lessons LSA and Teacher intervention CPD for staff	KS1 data shows PP children showing an increase in % attainment in all subjects and combined over a 3-year trend, KS2 2016 2017 2018 2019 Number of Pupils / % Matched 26 28 23 29 % Expected standard+ (Re, Wr, Ma) 22% 30% 50% 31% % Expected standard+ Reading 47% 37% 75% 41% % Expected standard+ Writing 44% 60% 50% 47% % Expected standard+ Maths 44% 37% 88% 34% % Expected standard+ Grammar, Punctuation & Spelling 42% 54% 70% 67% KS2 generally showed a strong upwards trend, except 2019 where the attainment dips significantly – this is cohort specific.(Awaiting confirmed 2019 progress scores from DfE for DA pupils to confirm this as additional evidence)
PP pupils to show a decreasing Persistent absence trend £12,500	Additional EWO time Attendance lead release time Investment in rewards FSW time purchased	Attendance data shows PP PA shows a decrease in the % number of PA <u>(Evidence</u> <u>EWO/Attendance lead report/SIMS)</u>
Access to main stream classrooms for PP pupils with increasing emotional/behavioural difficulties £78,399	SEMH interventions targeted via testing baseline External support and guidance used via varying agencies Child led programmes	SEMH data indicates programmes have been successful with all children except 1 (external additional support/guidance sought) during the academic year 2018/19 shows an improved SDQ score. (Evidence: SEND team, SDQ scores, pupil profiles)
Increase cultural experiences and enrichment £8,000	Use of local environment providing free trip Use of school mini bus to transport children Subsidise residential trips	Increased use of the local area has been integrated into the school curriculum with children visiting places and more frequently visitors coming to the school i.e. chicks, a farm education program, LFC, cookery program, Spanish, Music etc. <u>(Evidence: Twitter feed, monitoring walks)</u>

Increased level of vocabulary application within writing in all subjects £5,000	Change made to reading curriculum Reading resources invested in Online hardware and materials invested to engage pupils	Increased use of vocabulary has been evident through subject and SLT book monitoring, specific subject based words are more evident and focused to suit the context. Clear action plan by all subject leaders to increase this further has been formulated, evidence available via school website long term curriculum plans. <u>(Evidence, class books)</u>
Engage reluctant learners and offer support £14,000	Use of alternative equipment such as online resources, in a time limited way to support and engage learners	Investment in ICT equipment and resources has taken place. From support walks there is clear evidence of increased use of focused supportive online resources have engaged pupils in their own independent learning skills. This has also been reflected in pupil voice conversations. (Evidence: Pupil voice, monitoring walks, Computing subject lead)
Child centred focus on specific learning needs to aid progress £6,000	Bespoke LSA/teacher learning interventions to use resources/incentives/agencie s i.e. Ed psych time, specific programmes etc	Additional bought in services have been used to gain a further insight into how we can best support the individual child, i.e. Ed Psych, SFSSW, Seeding's. The impact of this has acted as a supportive mechanism for both the school in taking the child's learning forwards and supportive for the child. <u>(Evidence: Services themselves, family feedback, SEND pupil profiles, Child protection conferences, TAF meetings, SEND monitoring)</u>